

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SHEILA HALOUSEK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
15 OFFICE AND THE STATE OF
16 CALIFORNIA,

16 Defendants.
17

No. 2:21-cv-2351 TLN DB PS

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

18 Plaintiff Sheila Halousek is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was referred to
19 the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On April
20 26, 2022, and October 31, 2022, orders sent to plaintiff were returned as undeliverable. Pursuant
21 to Local Rule 183(b) a plaintiff appearing pro se has a duty to keep the Court advised of his or her
22 current address. If mail directed at the plaintiff is returned by the U.S. Postal Service and the
23 plaintiff fails to provide a current address within 63 days, "the Court may dismiss the action
24 without prejudice for failure to prosecute." (Id.) Here, well over 63 days have passed and
25 plaintiff has not provided a current address.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
2 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

3 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
5 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
6 with the court. A document containing objections should be titled “Objections to Magistrate
7 Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within
8 the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal the District Court’s
9 order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 Dated: April 5, 2023

11
12 
13 DEBORAH BARNES
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 DLB:6

24 DB\orders\orders.pro se\halousek2351.dlop.add.f&rs
25
26
27
28